The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives a brief summary of important matters affecting labor occurring in the industrial, legislative and judicial fields, and such other information that will benefit the trade union movement.

# AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 30, 1912, at the Post-Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 11, 1918.

AGITATE! EDUCATE! ORGANIZE!

VOL. 13, NO. 22. WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, AUGUST 18, 1923. WHOLE NO. 645.

# INJUNCTION JUDGES ARE DAILY SEIZING MORE POWER

Judge-made law and injunction judges are increasing their power over the lives of the people, and conditions are shaping for the inevitable contest between rule by oligarchs and rule by law.

Usurpation by judges is natural. It is another proof of the infallible law that power begets power; that no man or group of men can be trusted to rule their fellow men.

Wage workers were the first victims of judge-made law. This is true in every case where tyranny operates.

As the public accepted judge rule as a substitute for law, the judges continued to extend their power. The inexorable law was operating—power begets power.

Injunction judges have denied free speech, free assemblage and trial by jury. They have placed the dollar above human rights. They have now reached the stage where they are controlling newspapers.

With a few exceptions, these newspapers have defended injunction judges. Now it will be interesting to see how long the Fourth Estate will accept advice it so freely gives workers; how long will it forego constitutional rights and submit to irresponsible rule.

Organized labor has been lectured by newspapers because it opposed the injunction in strike times. Then rights are denied and acts are outlawed that are legal if no strike exists.

"They want to violate law!" is the dust-raising cry of these newspapers, that have ignored gross violation of fundamental principles and constitutional guarantees.

But these newspapers are due for a change of policy. Injunction judges are increasing their power and are now dictating to newspapers. They are making a mockery of free press, as they have of free speech and peaceful assembly.

The injunction judge is giving the Fourth Estate the same treatment accorded wage workers.

The public has not forgotten recent attempts of New Mexico courts to gag the press by sentencing Editor Magee of Albuquerque to prison because he dared criticise courts. The editor was pardoned by the governor of that state.

The Arizona state supreme court has ruled that a judge can gag newspapers. In this case the superior court of Gila county enjoined the holding of an election, which was prescribed by statute.

The Silver Belt, a daily newspaper published in Globe, criticised the court. The editor was found guilty of contempt.

The state supreme court upheld this suspension of law and control of the press. "We think the articles from which we have quoted are to be considered contemptuous," said the court. The law-defying lower court, who defamed the judiciary, escaped censure.

From Superior, Wis., comes the news that Federal Judge Luse has warned the editor of the Superior Evening Telegram that he must not publish news of a criminal trial which might have a tendency to prejudice jurors.

The accuracy of the news was not questioned. The court made no attempt to stop jurors from reading the paper. Instead, he told the editor what he could not print, and would enforce his edict by contempt proceedings.

These cases indicate the wind's direction.

They again prove that no group in society is immune from an injustice that has been done to any other group. They also recall the unyielding law that when men are permitted to usurp power they will extend that power.

Judge-made law and the labor injunction judge are enemies of popular government. They are enemies of every requisite of a free people—free speech, free press, free assembly and trial by jury.

# STEEL TRUST FORCED TO YIELD QUITTING 12-HOUR DAY POLICY

New York, Aug. 18.—Backed against a stone wall by popular opinion and the fear that its employes will organize, steel barons are grudgingly breaking from the 12-hour day.

The established rate of 40 cents an hour is increased 25 per cent or to 50 cents an hour. This makes $4 for an eight-hour day, as against $4.80 for a 12-hour day.

The steel barons are bending their stiff necks most unwillingly and clearly indicate that they are making the change because they are compelled to. They profess a great fear that sufficient labor can not be secured. This is not in accord with sentiments found in the financial section of the daily press, where business men are told facts. This is shown in press dispatches from Pittsburgh on August 11, when reference is made to a "quiet steel market." In explaining how steel mills are adhering to one price, the dispatch said: "At a time like this, when the market is dull, both seasonably and otherwise, any departure would attract attention."

On August 8, the Iron Age, a recognized spokesman for the steel industry, said:

"The men are taken from 12-hour shifts in the reduction of working hours that has begun already in some districts, output will be brought closely in line with demand. The stabilizing effect the movement will have on prices is already receiving attention from buyers."

In an article in the New York World, issue of August 12, Judge Gary exhibited an angry mood because he was compelled to abandon the 12-hour day. Seldom has a captain of industry publicly shown such ill humor.

The judge said the public, though "ignorant" of conditions, compelled this change and the workers would be "bitterly disappointed."

"They were satisfied—our men," said the trust executive, who made no attempt to conceal his chagrin by being forced to act against his wishes. The outlook for the industry is bright. For the workmen—we can only hope," said the gloomy judge, who assumed the attitude of one who has been defeated.

Judge Gary's claim that his employes were satisfied under the 12-hour rule is contrary to a story published in the Baltimore Sun, issue of August 14, which states that one of the great factors in forcing the steel barons to abandon their 12-hour stand was the unrest of employes. In referring to two meetings of the steel barons, during July, this newspaper said:

"These two meetings adjourned over a week-end to permit the presidents of the various companies to return to their homes to learn, if possible, the attitude of the employes that may be affected.

"Though no statement was made by the executives on their return it has been presumed that they found a majority of the employes agreeable to the change in rate of pay for the shorter hours, for shortly after the committees meetings were called for action to make the change was announced."

The statement that steel barons sent committees to their plants to ascertain the views of employes indicates how much attention these autocrats pay to their organized workers. It also refutes the steel barons' public claim that their employes favored the long work day.

## *Vessel Owners' Publicity Has Failed*

Chicago, August 18.—Newspaper statements that when the great steamship Leviathan arrived at England she would be searched for deserting British seamen was a shipowners' bluff that did not work, according to Victor A. Olander, secretary of the Illinois state federation of labor and a member of the International Seamen's union. He is considered an authority on maritime law and is well versed in the operation of the La Follette seamen's law.

Under this act when a foreign ship arrives at an American port, the seamen can leave the ship. Formerly, if they were arrested for desertion on complaint of the captain of the ship.

Under the La Follette act all seamen are given the same treatment that is accorded workers on land. The law is working havoc with foreign ship owners, who compete with American ship owners. Formerly, the foreign ship arrived at an American port with cheap labor. Now, under the La Follette act, these crews can—and do—quit the vessel and the captain is forced to pay the going wage rate at that port before they will enlist. No longer can he call on American police officials to arrest and drag and throw them, in irons, back on his ship.

This legislation is doing what its advocates predicted—raising the wage standard of the seamen of the world, and thereby ending the disadvantage American vessel owners have suffered.

Great Britain has led the fight against the La Follette act, and has punished seamen who left their ships in an American port and later returned to England.

"Instead of becoming frightened in the manner expected by the British and other European shipowners," said Mr. Olander, "the seamen continue to assert their rights, and now, when they find it necessary to return to their home ports, are solving the problem by simply staying away. This causes additional worry to the harassed shipping interests. Their costs are mounting.

"Recently the British White Star liner Pittsburgh found it necessary to pay a bonus of five pounds—equivalent to about $21—to each man of her crew in order to induce them to remain on board the vessel for the return voyage.

"Since the passage of the seamen's act by congress in 1915, foreign shipping interests in the United States, represented by a horde of consular agents throughout the country, have led a great part of the American press to believe that the seamen's act places an undue burden upon the American merchant marine. Chambers of commerce, employers' associations and business institutions of all kinds were led to echo the false cry. Now the cat is out of the bag and the truth is being confessed by the foreign interests. And the truth is simply this, that the La Follette seamen's act furnishes the only means by which the American merchant marine can secure a fair competitive chance on the seven seas, setting a high standard of liberty for seamen and safety regulations for passengers and crew, and making this applicable to all ships in American harbors, regardless of the flag they fly."

## *Employers Control Non-Union Miners*

Washington, Aug. 18.—Anti-union coal owners in Pennsylvania, Alabama and West Virginia dictate to miners who shall visit them, according to a report submitted by a voluntary committee of clergymen and educators to the United States coal commission.

Employes of many mines are held in a state of isolation and are not allowed to receive relatives in their homes if the companies object. The report states that the W. J. Rainey company, Inc., operating in Fayette county, Pa., allows the miners three kinds of visitors, namely, the physician, the driver of a moving van and the undertaker.

The authors of the report include Zacheriah Chaffee, professor of law at Harvard university; Rev. Dr. John A. Ryan of the Catholic university, Washington; Prof. Herbert A. Miller, of Oberlin college, and Rev. Arthur A. Holt, social service secretary of the Congregational church, Boston.

A typical lease, the committee says, is that used by the H. C. Frick coke company at its operations at both Fairchance, Fayette county, and Mammoth, Westmoreland county, Pa., which recites that:

"The rights appurtenant to the premises hereby leased shall be only the right of ingress and egress to the said lessee and his dependents who are members of his immediate family, and the said lessee covenants and agrees to not use, nor suffer to permit the use of the lands, ways, roads or alleys to said leased premises for any other purpose or by any other persons."

The committee said that evidence of it has secured "ample proof" to the abuses of liberty by public officials paid by the operators, to the suppression of peaceful activities through injunction and military force."

Maintaining that it has scores of affidavits relating to interference with the liberties of individuals in Logan county, W. Va., the committee said it believed these gave a picture "of conditions in which the rights and the very lives of people are not safe from unjustifiable suppression and assault."

Details concerning nearly 200 interference with the rights of individuals and assaults upon them were submitted by the committee.

## Use Labor Shortage Cry To Secure Labor Surplus

Rockport, Mass., Aug. 18.—Paving contractors cry for more men, while loafing all over because of lack of material, says Editor Bergstrom of the Paving Cutter's Journal. The editor says that scarcely a day passes without a call for paving cutters while on idle time members are forced into idleness because contractors have not provided stock.

"Is it possible that some employers prefer to have more men on their jobs than can steadily be employed?" asks Editor Bergstrom. "At our last conference we heard much talk on the need for an increased production, but if any of our employers have since added to productivity by providing better stock, steadier employment and improved working conditions, we have yet to hear about it."

## DAUGHERTY IGNORES HIGH COURT DECISION

Washington, Aug. 18.—Attorney General Daugherty announces that "the government" will not contest the recent decision of the U. S. federal court that the interstate commerce commission may authorize the merging of the Atlantic coast line and the Southern Pacific railroads.

The United States supreme court ruled that the proposed union is a violation of the anti-trust law and that it should be dissolved. Attorney General Daugherty conducted this case, and the court's decision was hailed as a great victory "for the people."

The Southern Pacific then asked the interstate commerce commission to legalize the combination under the transportation act, which permits roads to combine.

The commission granted the request. The result was a decision by the supreme court that the combination is illegal under the anti-trust law, and a decision by the commerce commission that the combination is legal under the transportation law.

Attorney General Daugherty asked the St. Paul federal court to order the Southern Pacific to obey the mandate of the supreme court, but the St. Paul judges upheld the interstate commerce commission. The decision will now stand, and the supreme court's edict will be quietly ignored. This policy is favored by business interests and by the holders of stock to the value of $250,000,000.

## WOULD IMPORT BAKERS TO BREAK STRIKE

Chicago, Aug. 18.—The bakery industry is raising the "shortage of labor" cry, and efforts are made to import European bakery workers.

Officers of the Bakery and Confectionery Workers' International union have received information from sister organizations in Germany and Austria that bakery workers in these countries are urged to come to the United States under conditions which are in violation of immigration laws.

"Ignorant of the conditions in our own country and unable to furnish their own transportation, these men are at the mercy of their exploiters from the first day of their arrival," says Editor Hohmann of the Bakers' Journal.

Officers of organized bakers in this country believe that if foreign bakers in numbers are urged to come to the United States under conditions which are in violation of immigration laws, "